

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 24.01.2019****CWP No.10648 of 2002 (O&M)**

Rajesh Kumar & others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Praduman Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

1. The principal prayer of the petitioners was for quashing the impugned order dated 12.12.2001 (Annex P-7) vide which their claim for regularization of their services has been rejected.

2. During the pendency of this petition, I am told by Mr. Yadav that by order dated 17.07.2017, the services of all the petitioners have been regularized on different dates falling in the year 1998 corresponding to the dates of their appointments. However, while fixing their pay, they have been wrongly shown as regularized from 2002. Mr. Yadav submits that the pay fixation order has been passed without notice to the petitioners or offering them an opportunity of hearing.

3. If this is the position, the petitioners would be at liberty to make a representation to the Department pointing out to the error in the calculation and the authority competent to pass the final order would look into the matter and hear the petitioners on their grievance/s and pass a speaking order within two weeks thereafter.

4. With these observations and directions, the petition stands disposed of.

24.01.2019

Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>