

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.252

CR-1126-2016

Date of decision: 07.11.2019

Kailash Chand

....Petitioner

versus

Kamla Devi and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Akshit Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. S.K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition is directed against the order dated 15.01.2016 passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Talwandi Sabo, (for short – the Trial Court) through which an application filed by the petitioner for appointment of a Local Commissioner has been dismissed.

On February 15, 2016, this Court had issued notice in the present petition and in the meanwhile directed that the trial may continue but the judgment will not be passed till the present petition was disposed of or the interim order was modified.

Today, when the matter came up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner has informed the Court that as on date, before the Trial Court, both the parties have led their evidence and the matter is listed for final arguments.

That being so, at this stage, since both the parties have led their evidence in support of their respective claims, no useful purpose would be

served in adjudicating upon the present petition. The same has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

November 07, 2019
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No