

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 679 of 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 21, 2019

PARVESH KUMARI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

VIVEK SHEEL SONI

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 29.08.2017 passed in CRM-M-6623 of 2017.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

“ Accordingly, respondent No.3 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot is directed to look into the grievances of the petitioner contained in representations Annexure P1 to Annexure P4 and to take necessary action in the matter, which is warranted by facts and circumstances of the case within a period of two months from today. The petitioner be joined in the inquiry, which may be conducted by respondent No.3 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot himself or got conducted through some gazetted police officer.”

Pursuant to the notice of motion, status report was filed by way of an affidavit of Vivek Sheel Soni, IPS, Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot annexing the inquiry report stating. It has been stated that the

statement of the complainant was recorded during the course of inquiry and no person from the vicinity of the petitioner had fortified the facts given in the complaint.

In view of the status report filed, no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued against the respondent is discharged.

Needless to say that the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law against the inquiry report.

AUGUST 21, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>