

216

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-639-2018

Date of Decision: March 07, 2019

Parveen Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Dinesh Chander Sharma and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr.S.K.Daaria, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.RTPS Tulsi, Advocate with
Mr.Sunil Sahore and Abhay K.Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

.....

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

The contempt petition is filed for non-compliance of the order dated 22.05.2017 vide which the writ petition was disposed of with a following observations:-

“In this view of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of with a clarificatory direction that in case the competent authority decides to introduce/re-introduce the Scheme with or without any modification, let the claim/request of the petitioner be re-considered within three months from the date such request is made.”

Learned counsel for the respondents have placed on record the order passed by the Apex Court dated 06.03.2019 wherein it is specifically held that since the Scheme stands terminated and is no longer in existence, nothing further need be done in the matter. Since the very order dated 22.05.2017 was only to the extent that the respondents were required to re-consider in case the Scheme was introduced/re-introduced, no contempt is said to be made out in view of the judgment passed by the Apex Court as

also taking into account the fact that the said Scheme no longer exists.

In this view of the matter, the contempt petition is dismissed.

The rule issued against the respondent(s) stands discharged.

March 07, 2019
meenuss

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |