

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.669 of 2017
Date of Decision: 12.09.2019**

Urban Improvement Company (P) Ltd.

Petitioner

Versus

Vikas Gupta, Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Vaneet Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition as amount has been released.

Learned state counsel submits that amount released was required to be refunded by petitioner to the purchaser, if the same was recovered. He states that the said aspect cannot be ensured by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced order dated 31.07.2019 of the Delhi High Court whereby the said amount is directed to be kept in FDR.

Respondents if so advised can move impleadment application before the Delhi High Court.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

The rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

September 12, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |