

215

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-622-2018

Date of decision:28.08.2019

Navita Gupta

.. Petitioner

Versus

V.K.Seth

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Dinesh Ghai, Advocate
for respondent No. 1.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

In pre-lunch session, learned counsel for the respondent submitted that the direction of this Court has been complied with as '*leave*' in question has already been treated as '*commuted leave*' as there is no head of medical leave, at that stage, learned counsel for the petitioner took a pass over to address the arguments.

Now, after lunch session, none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner despite two pass overs.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

28th August, 2019

shabha

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No