

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

247

COC-618-2018

Date of Decision : March 25, 2019

RAJESH SINGHAL @ RAJESH GUPTA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

VIPUL UJWAL AND ANR

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present : Mr. Abhishek K. Premi, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Jhanji, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanisth, Ganeriwala, AAG, Punjab for respondent No.1 as
well as the present incumbent in place of respondent No.2.

Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2-Arvind Parkash Verma.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (Oral)

Reply has been filed stating therein that the order has been
complied with.

In view of the same, the contempt petition is dismissed.

Rule issued against the respondent(s) stands discharged.

Admittedly, the costs has not been paid on the ground that the
respondents were not clear as to whether the said costs has to be deposited.

It is, therefore, clarified that the costs be paid to the petitioner
and receipt of the same be taken from the petitioner. The copy of the said
receipt may be placed on record within two weeks from today. In case the
same is not done, the petitioner shall be at liberty to revive the contempt
petition.

**(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE**

March 25, 2019
ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No