

222

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-599-2018

Date of Decision: January 23, 2019

Thuru Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh Mittal

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr.Chiranshu Bansal, Advocate for
Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Mahesh Dheer, Advocate for the respondent.

.....

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

The present contempt petition is filed for non-compliance of the order dated 11.01.2017 vide which a direction was issued to respondent No.4 to take action on the letter dated 01.11.2016 and to release the pension if claim of the petitioner is found to be justified.

Reply has been filed. As per the said reply, pension of the petitioner was not stopped because of non-submission of the life certificate, rather it has been stopped on account of Circular dated 23.06.2014 issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs.

In this view of the matter, no useful purpose would be served in continuing with the contempt petition. The same is hereby dismissed.

The rule issued against the respondent(s) stands discharged.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to take appropriate recourse as per law if she is still aggrieved in any manner.

January 23, 2019
meenuss

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |