

Sr. No. 107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1267 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.01.2019**

Dilbagh Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- Mr. R.K.Gautam, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of the higher pay scale as per the instructions dated 25.09.1998 (Annexure P-1), which have already been adopted by the Chandigarh Administration, vide letter dated 17.12.1998 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner also submitted a detailed representation (Annexure P-8) to the respondent(s) on 29.09.2017.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a similarly situated employee preferred CWP No.606 of 2019 wherein learned counsel for U.T. Stated that he had no objection if respondents were time bound to decide the representation of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would

be satisfied if a direction in similar way is issued, without any further proceedings, to decide representation (Annexure P-8) in a time bound manner.

5. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to pass a speaking order on the representation dated 29.09.2017 (Annexure P-8) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled to any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to him within a period of three months thereafter.

17.01.2019
vandana

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No