

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No. 544 of 2018

Date of Decision: 25.09.2019

Naresh Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

J. Ganeshan and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate
for respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

The bone of contention in the present contempt petition is that directions issued by this Court on 27.2.2016 in CWP No. 18687 of 2012 and connected cases have not been complied with.

Learned counsel for respondents states *in spite* of his best efforts he is not in a position to establish the compliance of the directions of this Court. At this stage, he makes a statement that additional demand would not be pressed against the petitioners till the detail of enhancement, including reasons are uploaded. Thereafter an opportunity for filing the objection/replies is given to the petitioners and replies are considered by the Committee to be constituted as per directions of this Court.

In view of above statement, the contempt petition is disposed of.

25.09.2019
reema

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No