

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.1190 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.02.2019**

Sonu Bidla

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Vishavjeet Singh Rishi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.266 dated 27.08.2018, under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Sections 325 & 326, IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Model Town, District Ludhiana.

This Court, on the previous date of hearing i.e. 16.01.2019, passed the following order:-

“ It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that there is no injury on the vital part of the body attributed to the petitioner.

Let copy of the MLR be produced on the next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 21.02.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

It is contended by learned Counsel for the petitioner that in pursuance of the above order, petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is no longer required.

The aforesaid factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajinder Singh.

In view of above, interim order dated 16.01.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed off.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The observations may not be considered as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

February 21, 2019
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes