

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-680-2019

Date of decision: 14.1.2019

Seema Singla

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Roopak Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to consider the reply filed by the petitioner to the show cause notice dated 2.5.2018 (Annexure P-5) before taking any action in the matter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he confines his prayer to the extent that a direction be issued to respondent No.

3- Deputy Commissioner, Nuh to consider the reply dated 8.5.2018 (Annexure P-6) submitted by the petitioner before taking the final decision in the matter.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr.SK Saini,AAG, Haryana accepts notices on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete set of paper book has been supplied to him in the Court.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.

3- Deputy Commissioner, Nuh to consider the reply dated 8.5.2018 (Annexure P-6) submitted by the petitioner before taking the final decision in the matter. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

14.1.2019
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No

