

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 997 of 2015

Date of Decision: 11.09.2019

Megh Chand and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Mamta Kanshy and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India with
Mr. Arun Gosain, Sr. Panel Counsel for respondent No.1.

Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Addl. AG Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

The only dispute surviving in the present contempt petition is
with regard to interest on solatium and benefit under Section 23(1-A) of the
Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that rest of the
monetary benefit have already been released to the petitioners.

In view of the above development, learned counsel for the
petitioners seeks liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law for pursuing
of survival grievance including the execution.

Disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

11.09.2019

reema