

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 393 of 2018

Date of Decision: 02.07.2019

Sharda Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

Radesh Kalra

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Harpal Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, AAG Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

The contempt petition has been filed for intentionally disobeying
order dated 15.9.2017 passed in CWP No. 21007 of 2017.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

"Without expressing anything on merits and various averments made in the legal notice, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice (Annexure P-4) and take a conscious decision in accordance with law as well as service rules within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order.

*In case, respondent No.3 comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to service benefits claimed through legal notice as well as interest, in terms of judgments rendered by this Court in **A.S. Randhawa versus State of Punjab and others** 1997 (3) SCT 468 and judgment dated August 23, 2017 passed in CWP No.19179 of 2016 titled **Professor Charanjit Singh Dhillon versus State of Punjab and others** payment thereof, be made to the petitioner within a period of one month thereafter.*

If the petitioner still feels aggrieved, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court."

Reply filed on behalf of respondent. Respondent No.3 in the writ petition was The Regional Deputy Director, Local Government Department, Ferozepur and it has been stated that as per the information derived from Municipal Council Fazilka, the payments have been made vide letter No. 133 dated 27.3.2017 and the cheque numbers have been mentioned.

It has not been disputed by learned counsel for the parties that payment is to be made by Municipal Council, Fazilka, which is not a party in the present contempt petition.

In view of the reply filed, the order of this Court has been complied with.

No further action is required in the contempt proceedings.

However, in case, the petitioner has not received the said amount, she would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition.

Disposed of.

02.07.2019

reema

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No