

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 3918 of 2018
Date of decision: 6.11.2019

Gurdas Singh and others

.. Petitioners

v.

Sh. Venu Parsad and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Ashish Grover, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. P. S. Sidhu, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The contempt petition has been filed raising a grievance that in spite of status quo order passed by this Court on 17.11.2017 with regard to service of the petitioners, the wages have not been paid.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 3 states that a cheque was handed over to the contractor as the petitioners were employed through outsourcing agency, but he refused to accept it.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners would file an affidavit before respondent No. 3 stating that if payment is made directly to the petitioners it would not create any right in their favour, they would not claim the said amount from the contractor and further that said amount has not been received from the contractor. It shall further be

stated in affidavit that the said act will not prejudice the rights of the

respondents in any litigation. The petitioners will provide bank details to respondent No. 3.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 3 states that on doing the needful, the payment would be made within one week.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

6.11.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No