

CWP-628-2019

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-628-2019

Date of Decision: 14.01.2019

Nihal Singh

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. J.S.Yadav , Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J

In the present writ petition, the claim which is being made by the petitioner is for grant of selection grade after completion 18 years of service with effect from the date his junior was granted.

Despite the fact that a writ in the nature of mandamus has been sought in the present writ petition, but there is no representation or claim, which has been made by the petitioner for the relief, which has been sought for in the present writ petition. The claim is the pre-requisite for the grant of mandamus, which is absent in the present writ petition.

Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a detailed representation with the respondent(s) for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

In case, a representation is filed by the petitioner claiming the relief, as prayed in the present writ petition, within a period of four weeks from today, the respondents shall pass an appropriate speaking order deciding the same within a period of next three months.

CWP-628-2019

However, it is made clear that this Court does not express any opinion on the merits or about the claim which has been made by the petitioner in the present writ petition or will be made in the representation to be filed, at this stage.

14.01.2019
smriti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No