

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 3890 of 2017
Date of decision: 6.12.2019

Tarlochan Singh and others

.. Petitioners

v.

Sh. Hussan Lal and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Karan Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

Mr. Hussan Lal, Principal Secretary, PWD (B&R), Punjab, is present in Court, submits that there was delay in compliance of the directions, as the officials of the respondent-department never put up the matter before the appropriate authority. He assures that responsibility of defaulting official will be duly fixed. He tenders an unconditional apology, the same is accepted. There is no doubt that appropriate action would be taken in the present case and it will be ensured that directions of this Court are complied with in all cases.

Learned counsel for the State submits that out of total 51 petitioners in the present petition, petitioners No. 47 and 51 have not exercised the option till date. It is further informed that 12 petitioners have

been granted pay parity and 13 petitioners who opted for ACP, were granted the same. With regard to remaining 24 petitioners, it is submitted that they refused to give the option.

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not contradict the factual position with regard to petitioners No. 47 and 51. He raises a grievance that only petitioners No. 12, 34 and 44 have got full dues. He contends that no order is passed and communicated for rest of the petitioners with regard to the status of their claims.

Learned counsel for the State submits that orders with regard to all 51 petitioners would be communicated to counsel for the petitioners within one week from today.

In view of the above, no interference is called for in the contempt petition.

The petitioners would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of the surviving grievances.

The contempt petition is disposed of.

Since the main petition has been disposed of, no order is required to be passed in the miscellaneous applications.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

6.12.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No