

230

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-3873 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION: 18.09.2019

VIDYAPATI SANSTHAN EDUCATION SOCIETY

...PETITIONER

Versus

SH K.MAKRAND PANDURANG

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Reeta Kohli, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. AG. Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 10.07.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 16518 of 2018. The operative part of the order is reproduced below:

“From the facts pleaded in the writ petition, it appears that the Directorate of Town and Country Planning, Haryana is obligated to take an appropriate decision regarding approval of the zoning plan submitted by the petitioner-Society in respect of the School site allotted to it by respondent No.3-Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Limited. The writ petition is thus disposed of without expressing any views on merits, with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a final decision in this regard within a period of three months from the date of

receiving a certified copy of this order. The petitioner-Society shall be at liberty to supply a copy of the writ petition alongwith this order to respondent No.2.

Dasti.”

A reply was filed on 16.02.2019 annexing memo No. ZP-623-B/AD (RA)/2018/32503 dated 27.11.2018 whereby Zone plan of Nursery School has been approved.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that zone plan has been approved but a condition is imposed that no construction shall be undertaken by the Society. She seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law against the order dated 27.11.2018.

The contempt petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stand discharged.

18th September, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*