

233

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No.3846 of 2017
Date of Decision: 22.10.2019

Hari Singh and others

Petitioners

Versus

Satish Chandra, Financial Commissioner, Forests, Department of
Forests and Wildlife Preservation, Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

In view of reply filed, learned counsel for the petitioners
states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt
petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance
with law for redressal of grievance, if still survives.

The contempt petition is disposed of with liberty as
prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

October 22, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No