

218.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1116-2019

Date of decision:17.01.2019

PARDEEP

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.122 dated 26.04.2018 registered under Sections 304-B, 34 IPC (Subsequently Section 304-B deleted and Sections 306/201 IPC added) at Police Station Kalayat, District Kaithal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is in custody since 27.04.2018 and the challan in the case has been presented on 11.07.2018. He further states that initially the case was registered under Section 304-B, but thereafter said section was deleted and the offence under Sections 302 IPC was added. However, during investigation, the petitioner was charged under Section 306 IPC. He refers to the statement of PW1, on whose behalf the FIR was registered, wherein he has stated that his “daughter Suman had been harassed, beaten and taunted and instigated to the

The other co-accused Kuldeep and Kamlesh (parents of the petitioner) have been granted bail by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kaithal vide order dated 01.08.2018.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sunil Kumar, states that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. The change of offences does reflect that there is fair investigation without any prejudice having caused to the petitioner. He further states that in such like situation the victim has no other option except to commit suicide.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 27.04.2018 and the trial in the case will take long time, petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

17.01.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No