

227

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.3774 of 2018
Date of Decision: 14.10.2019**

Aridaman Singh Dhillon

Petitioner

Versus

Anurag Verma, IAS, Financial Commissioner, Rural Development
and Panchayats Department, Punjab and another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Amarjit Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The writ petition filed by the petitioner was disposed of on
14.08.2013 with the following directions:-

“Since the petitioner has approached this Court after a considerable delay, it is clarified that in case the claim of the petitioner is found covered under the judgement of Smt. P.Grover's case (supra), it shall be open for the respondent-authorities to grant to the petitioner the claimed benefit purely on a notional basis and thereafter to grant the revised pensionary benefits accruing thereupon. It shall also be open for the respondents to confine the benefit of arrears of such revised pensionary benefits to a period of 38 months prior to the date of filing of the instant writ petition.

Petition disposed of.”

Pursuant to notice of motion, reply dated 20.03.2019 was filed with advance copy to learned counsel for the petitioner. Vide order dated 19.03.2019 petitioner was found entitled to the salary of the higher post and the salary has been refixed accordingly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law, against the order passed.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

October 14, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No