

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No. 3768 of 2018

Date of Decision: 05.11.2019

**RESIDENTIAL WELFARE ASSOCIATION, SEC-1, ROHTAK
through its President Sh. Kadam Singh Ahlawat**
.....Petitioner

Versus

SH. J. GANESHAN
.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

**Present: Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate
for the respondent.**

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent states that a Committee was formulated of three retired judges of this Court, the Committee has given the report and according to said report, new policy has been formulated on 22.8.2019. He on instruction from Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Chief Accounts Officer, HSVP, Panchkula, submits that directions of this Court i.e. at Sr. No. (iii) para -4 of the judgment dated 10.7.2018 would be complied with within four months from today.

At this juncture relevant portion of the directions are reproduced below.

"(iii) There shall be a joint Committee comprising, Chief Administrator, HUDA; Estate Officer, HUDA, Panchkula and the Chief Controller of Finance, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula, who shall consider the representation-cum-objections submitted by the petitioner-Association against the revised demand notices dated 24.05.2018 read with Calculation Sheet dated 11.05.2018 and decide the same by way of reasoned order after hearing representative of the petitioner-Association."

In view of statement made, the contempt petition is disposed of with liberty to revive the same, if directions are not complied with. In case the petitioner is forced to move application for revival, costs may be imposed which shall be recoverable personally from the defaulting officer/official.

05.11.2019
reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No