

COCN No. 3750 of 2018

DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 05, 2019

DHARAMVIR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

JASPREET TALWAR

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 31.05.2018 passed in CWP No. 14291 of 2018.

The operational part of the order is quoted below:-

“ In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1- Secretary, Water Supply & Sanitation Department, Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 26.02.2018 (Annexure P-8) in view of Annexures P-1, P-2 and P-7 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to him or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.”

Learned State counsel has filed a short reply by way of an affidavit of Jaspreet Talwar, Secretary, Water Supply and Sanitation Department, Punjab annexing an order dated 28.01.2019. Copy handed over to the counsel for the petitioner. He further submits that the claim of the petitioner has been partially accepted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that some amount is still to be paid for the claim which has been accepted. He seeks liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law against order dated 28.01.2019, so far the claim is rejected.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

However, it is made clear that monetary benefits, if any due, shall be paid to the petitioner expeditiously but not later than three months.

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

SEPTEMBER 05, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>