

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 3683 of 2017 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 27, 2019

MINA KALA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

TARUN KUMAR PARWALA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. F.K. Jha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 14.02.2017 passed in CWP No. 5437 of 2015.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:-

“ In the light of above discussion, the writ petition is allowed and the respondents are directed to re-calculate the petitioner's liability towards interest under different permissible recoveries. The complete statement of accounts after such an exercised shall be conveyed to the petitioner whereupon she will be liable to pay the interest amount.

Ordered accordingly.”

Learned counsel for the respondent has filed a short reply by way of an affidavit of C.S. Khare, Administrator, HSVP, Gurugram, same is taken on record. Copy handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that the petitioner has deposited the amount and has also taken physical possession of the plot on 29.07.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that still some grievance survives as the plot given is of lesser area. He further states that the calculations have not been properly done.

The grievance raised now cannot be gone into in contempt

In view of reply, no cause of action survives for pursuing with the present contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to avail remedies as per law.

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

AUGUST 27, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes/No***
Whether reportable ***Yes/No***