

229

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.3679 of 2018
Date of Decision: 01.10.2019**

Shanti Devi

Petitioner

Versus

Inderesh Sharma, Director, Local Government Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Nidhi Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Abhikash Gaiind, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The writ petition filed by the petitioner was disposed with direction to the respondents to decide the claim of the petitioner and to ensure that dues are paid to the petitioner. It was further directed that claim with regard to interest will also be considered.

Pursuant to notice of motion in the contempt proceedings, reply was filed annexing order dated 23.01.2019 whereby claim of the petitioner has been rejected.

In view of order passed, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law, against order dated 23.01.2019.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

The rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

October 01, 2019
pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes / No
2. Whether reportable

:

Yes / No