

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN-3654-2017

Date of Decision: January 31, 2019

Bimla Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

Ramesh Kumar

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr.B.S.Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Aman Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

.....

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

It is not disputed that the order has since been complied with.

In this view of the matter, no useful purpose would be served in continuing with the contempt petition. The same is hereby dismissed.

The rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

However, in case if there is any discrepancy in the amount, the petitioner is at liberty to file representation to that effect.

January 31, 2019
meenuss

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?
2. Whether reportable ?

Yes/No
Yes/No