

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.179 of 2019

Date of Decision: January 16, 2019

Teena Makkar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ashok Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

1. At the time of hearing, Ld. counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not press on the relief by way of setting aside of costs which have already been paid by him, but only seeks an extension of time for the purpose of making payment to the respondent-defendant, in view of the limited time an opportunity given to him.

2. It is further submitted by Ld. counsel that the agreed target date for execution of the Sale Deed initially was 26.02.2018, for which the petitioner was ready and willing and had even obtained the requisite loan to pay all the sale consideration amount, but respondent-defendant had defaulted in executing the Deed. The petitioner shortly thereafter filed the suit on 25.04.2018 for specific performance, which has since been decreed within a span of few months after the original loan had been rescinded by the Bank for non-execution of the Sale Deed.

3. In the opinion of this Court, the above submissions do not help the petitioner in the present revision petition, which is therefore dismissed.

4. However, the petitioner is granted liberty to seek any reasonable extension of time from the Ld. Court below itself by showing all the relevant material in his power and possession, and the Ld. Court below shall decide any such application, to be filed, within a week from today independently on merits as expeditiously as possible thereafter.

January 16, 2019

rittu

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No