

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-329-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 01.02.2019

Dr. Roosy Aulakh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal and ors

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present:- Petitioner in person.

Mr. Rohit Seth, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 13.

RAJIV SHARMA, J.

Petitioner has filed the Original Application bearing No. 732-2018, titled as *Dr. Roosy Aulakh vs. Government Medical College, Sector 32, Chandigarh and others*, before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh. She had also claimed relief against the set of doctors who have been granted second time re-designation benefit to the faculty under Career Advancement Scheme. It is in these circumstances, private respondents No. 2 to 13 moved an application under Order 1 Rule 10 of the Code of Civil Procedure for impleadment. The said application has been allowed by Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh vide order dated 07.01.2019 (Annexure P-24).

In the eventuality of the Original Application preferred by the petitioner being allowed, the rights of the private respondents No. 2 to 13 would definitely be affected.

Accordingly, there is no error apparent in the order dated 07.01.2019 (Annexure P-24), passed by Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh.

Dismissed.

(RAJIV SHARMA)
JUDGE

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

01.02.2019
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No