

COCN No.352 of 2017
Date of Decision: 13.02.2019

Satish Saini

....Petitioner

Versus

Vikas Gupta and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: None for the petitioner.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.1 & 2 and filed power of attorney, which is taken on record.

The present contempt petition was filed for violation of the order dated 02.12.2013, which was disposed of in terms of the order dated 11.07.2013 passed in CWP No.4498 of 1993 (Jugti Vs. The State of Haryana and others). It is alleged that in the contempt petition that the respondents have demolished the constructed building situated in khasra No.4760, which is contemptuous.

Reply on behalf of the respondents is already on record. As per the said reply, the construction was demolished in khasra Nos.4741-42 and not on the khasra No.4760 as alleged by the petitioner and therefore, there was no contempt for violation of the order.

On 14.02.2018, learned counsel for the petitioner took time to verify the said fact. When the matter was listed on 23.08.2018, a request for adjournment was made on behalf of the petitioner. Today no one is present on his behalf. It is obvious that the averments made in the reply are not

In view of the same, the present contempt petition is dismissed.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

13.02.2019
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No