

**224/2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCN No. 3499 of 2018
DECIDED ON: OCTOBER 29, 2019**

NEENA SHARMA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

SH. D.S. DHESI, IAS AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Aman Chaudhary, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Minkal Thatai, Advocate for
Mr. Arun Gosain, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that he has received a communication dated 29.10.2019 whereby, the directions dated 08.02.2018 issued by this Court in CWP No. 932 of 2015 have been complied with. He further, on instructions from Mr. Ashu Bhalla, Assistant, submits that the due benefits have been released to the petitioner and the cost. Copy of the said communication handed over to the counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the communication, no cause of action survives in the present petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law, if aggrieved of the calculation of the amount and for the redressal of surviving grievance if any.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

However, it is made clear that in case the statement made today, on the basis of communication is factually found wrong, petitioner would be at liberty to revive the present petition and revival would be at the cost of responsibility of the defaulting official.

OCTOBER 29, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>