

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COC P 542 of 2016(O&M)
Date of Decision : February 04, 2019

Shiv Kumar Goswami

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Paramjit Kumar Sharma and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present : Mr. A.P.S.Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S.Rekhi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition is filed for non-compliance of the order dated 16.8.2012. A perusal of the order shows that no direction was issued. In fact, the petitioner was himself aggrieved against the said order and therefore filed an appeal. The said LPA was disposed of with the direction to the petitioner that in case he is aggrieved on account of the respondents not having made the payment in accordance with the impugned order, he is always at liberty to adopt appropriate proceedings.

In pursuance of the notice issued, reply has been filed. As per paras No. 11 and 12 of the additional reply, the amount due to the petitioner has since been paid and the detail of the amount has been mentioned in para No.11.

Hence, the contempt is not made out.

Rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

In case the petitioner is still unsatisfied in any manner and there is any discrepancy in the said amount, he is at liberty to avail remedy as available to him under the law.

February 04, 2019
ajay-1

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No