

252/4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-3477-2018
Date of decision: 01.10.2019

M/S BUILDWELL CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
.. PETITIONER
VERSUS

SHRI A.VENU PRASAD AND OTHERS
.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.C. Pathela, Advocate
for respondent No. 4.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No. 4 states that the decision on the legal notice has been taken and amount found due to the petitioner has also been paid.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in spite of passing of order, the grievance still survives. He seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

1st October, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*