

CRM-M-786 of 2019

Date of decision: February 14, 2019

Swapan Devi and another

....Petitioners

Versus

U.T. of Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**Present:** None for the petitioners.Mr. Rajiv Vij, Public Prosecutor
for U.T., Chandigarh.**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)**

Even on the last date of hearing there was no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

Learned counsel appearing for U.T., Chandigarh has submitted that the police has verified from the owner of the premises where the petitioners have given their residential address in the memo of parties and as per the statement of the owner of the house, both the petitioners have never resided in her house and the address is incorrect.

Since there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners, this petition is dismissed.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**February 14, 2019**
satish

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No