

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**\*\*\*\***

**COCP No.3459 of 2018  
Date of Decision: 06.11.2019**

Sukhdev Singh

Petitioner

Versus

M.K. Ahuja, Director, Urban Estate Department, Government of  
Haryana and others

Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Mr. Ravinder Rana, Advocate for  
Mr. Harsh Bungar, Advocate  
for the the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pankaj Kundra, Advocate for  
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

**\*\*\*\***

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):**

The controversy in the contempt petition was with regard to payment of enhanced compensation. During the pendency of the contempt petition, the land acquired of the petitioner was de-notified vide notification dated 10.01.2019. The notification is placed on record as annexure with the reply.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the said de-notification is illegal as the matter with regard to compensation was already decided by this Court.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 contends that reference in CM No.2133-CI-2019 in RFA No.3816 of 2017 has been made to the Division Bench which is numbered as CWP No.11498 of 2019. The issue with regard to validity of similar de-notification is pending.

Considering the above, the contempt petition is disposed of at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to file afresh in case cause of action survives after decision of the writ petition, referred above.

Needless to add that the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law against de-notification dated 10.01.2019.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]  
JUDGE**

**November 06, 2019**

*pankaj baweja*

|                               |   |          |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable         | : | Yes / No |