

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 3437 of 2017

Date of Decision: 15.10.2019

Kuldeep Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Smt. Dr. Geeta Gulati and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Chanderhans Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. DAG Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

C.M. No. 15113-CII of 2019

Application is allowed.

Additional affidavit along with Annexures P-7 to P-9 are taken
on record.

COCP No. 3437 of 2017

In the writ petition filed by the petitioners while issuing notice of motion, it was ordered that the petitioners shall not be replaced by similar temporary arrangements unless their performance is unsatisfactory. However, respondents were at liberty to fill up the vacancies by regular appointments.

The present contempt petition is filed pleading that petitioners have not been allowed to continue and have been replaced by foremen instructor.

During the pendency of the contempt petition, affidavit dated 3.10.2019 of Ms. Geeta Devi, Principal, Govt. Polytechnic, Jhajjar, was filed. It has been pleaded that two petitioners are working in District Dadri and petitioner No.3 is working at Govt. Polytechnic, Manesar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of the affidavit filed, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition, however, he seeks liberty to raise all the pleas for redressal of grievance if surviving in the pending writ petition.

The contempt petition is disposed of.

As the main case stands disposed of, the pending civil miscellaneous applications have been rendered infructuous.

15.10.2019
reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No