

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 3405 of 2017

Date of Decision: 18.07.2019

Namrata Pandit

.....Petitioner

Versus

Rajiv Gauba and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Sushil Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor
General of India with Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful
disobedience of order dated 7.4.2017 passed in CWP No.12995 of 2016.

The operational part of the order is reproduced as under:

*"Accordingly, this petition on the law point is accepted in
favour of the petitioner as to interpretation of the interplay of
the two set of rules. The competent authority amongst the
respondents is directed to pass a fresh order in accordance
with law within three months from the date of receipt of a
certified copy of this order and in the light of this judgment."*

Learned counsel for the respondents has produced order dated
5.7.2019, same is taken on record. Copy given to learned counsel for the
petitioner.

As per the said order, the claim has been accepted. It is stated
that monetary benefit will be released within two weeks from today.

In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner
submits that no cause of action survives in the present contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

18.07.2019

reema

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No