

245

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No.3396 of 2018
Date of Decision: 30.09.2019

Bharat Bhushan Singla

Petitioner

Versus

J. Ganesan, IAS, Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. D.R. Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 19.02.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No.23234 of 2017.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced as under:-

“4. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, perusing the present petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we dispose of the present petition by granting liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive representation raising all the pleas as raised in the present writ petition before the appropriate authority. It is directed that in the event of a representation being filed by the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the order, the same

shall be decided in accordance with law by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within a period of six months from the date of receipt of the representation in the light of the principles of law enunciated by the Full Bench Judgment in Rajiv Manchanda's case (supra). The petitioner shall be entitled to lead any evidence to substantiate his claim before the concerned authority.”

Reply by way of affidavit of Mr. D. Suresh, Chief Administrator, HSVP, Panchkula annexing order dated 11.03.2019 has been filed today in the Court, same is taken on record. Copy of reply is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of reply filed, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition, as eligibility of the petitioner has been accepted vide order dated 11.03.2019. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law against order passed and also in case there is delay in advertising the plots.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

September 30, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No