

COCN No.3465 of 2013

Date of Decision: 14.2.2019

Vinod Soni

.....Petitioner

Versus

Dr. Karanjit Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr. Vicky Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Sapan Dhir, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashish Yadav, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. Sudhir Kashyap, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

On 9.8.2018, learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 submitted that the orders passed by this Court have been complied with. It is further stated that they have issued notifications in pursuance to the order passed by this Court. Affidavit of the concerned officer on behalf of the Union Territory, Chandigarh has been filed stating therein that the order is being complied with. Accordingly, the petitioner was required to give documentary proof to substantiate the ascertain that correct fluid bottles and the nail polish remover in the form of the water is being sold.

On 29.10.2018, learned counsel for the petitioner took more time to comply with the order dated 24.9.2018 passed by this Court. While adjourning the matter, it was clearly stated that no further adjournment shall be granted. However, no affidavit has been filed in the petition till date. It is, therefore, evident that the petitioner has nothing to say qua the claim of the respondents that the order has been complied in letter and spirit as per their respective affidavits.

In view of the above, the the present contempt petition is

dismissed at this stage.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

However, in case the petitioner comes across any evidence of the violation of the order, he is at liberty to revive the present contempt petition.

14.2.2019
sharmila

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No