

COCF No.330 of 2017
Date of Decision: 30.4.2019

Balwinder Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

M.K. Sharma

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr. R.K. Bajaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Mittal, Assistant Solicitor General of India with
Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate for the respondent.**NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)**

The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of the order dated 21.3.2016, vide which, direction was issued to the petitioner to appear before respondent No.3 within one month, whereupon, respondent No.3 shall proceed in the matter in accordance with law.

The earlier reply was filed before this Court stating therein that the petitioner was not cooperating. Accordingly, on 23.10.2018, the respondent was directed to take final decision on the claim of the petitioner as has been projected. On 26.3.2019, when nothing was done, Assistant Director Directorate of Enforcement Government of India was directed to come before this Court.

Today, Sh. Deepak Chauhan, Deputy Director, Directorate of Enforcement is present in Court and has filed his affidavit stating therein that in fact, the representation of the petitioner was decided vide order dated 7.7.2017. However, the same could not be placed on record due to the communication gap. Subsequently, the representation of the petitioner was

again considered and fresh order has been passed on 16.4.2019, which is placed on record as Annexure R-4.

In view of the above, no further order is required to be passed in the present contempt petition. The same is accordingly, dismissed.

Rule issued against the respondent stand discharged.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to avail remedy as available to him in accordance with law in case, she is not satisfied with the order dated 16.4.2019.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

30.4.2019
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No