

COCP No. 3255 of 2017

DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 02, 2019

PARMINDER KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

ANIRUDH TIWARI AND ANR

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Jugait, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 06.07.2017 passed in CWP No. 14294 of 2017.

The operational part of the order is quoted below:-

“ *Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.1 and 2 to look into the grievances ventilated by the petitioner in his representation dated 13.03.2017 (Annexure P-7) and to decide the same within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, that too keeping in view the judgment passed in CWP No. 2866 of 2014, titled as **Karanvir Singh & others v. State of Punjab and others**; decided on September 30, 2016 as well as CWP No. 25733 of 2012, titled as **A.P. Sharma & others vs. State of Punjab & others**; decided on October 22, 2013.*

However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order

of the afore-said authorities, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.”

Pursuant to the notice of motion, reply was filed by way of an affidavit of Anirudh Tewari, Principal Secretary, Department of Finance, Government of Punjab annexing the order dated 24.11.2017. The claim of the petitioner has been accepted. Copy was supplied to the petitioner also.

The petitioner was asked to submit her claim for revised pension to the concerned Pension Sanctioning Authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to state that the said revised claim was ever submitted by the petitioner.

In view of above, no case is made out for initiating contempt proceedings.

Dismissed.

However, there is no doubt in case the petitioner submits her claim for revised pension, the same would be dealt by the respondents including Pension Sanctioning Authority, as expeditiously as possible.

Rule issued against the respondents stand discharged.

SEPTEMBER 02, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>