

240

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No.3242 of 2018
Date of Decision: 03.09.2019

Ompati

Petitioner

Versus

Rajiv Rattan, IAS, Director Secondary Education, Haryana

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Ajay Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The writ petition filed by the petitioner was allowed in terms of judgment of the Supreme Court in ***State of Haryana and others Vs. Hem Lata Gupta and others, reported as 2010(2) SCC 369***. It was directed by this Court that the petitioner will be entitled to consideration as the Supreme Court has directed in the aforementioned case. It was ordered that exercise be completed within a period of two months and consequential benefits, if any, shall be released to the petitioners within a further period of two months.

Reply was filed in the Court. The stand taken by the respondent is that petitioner is not entitled to additional increment as he has attained higher qualification only after 20.12.1982.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that no formal order has been communicated for rejecting the claim.

Learned State counsel submits that order shall be communicated to the petitioner within four weeks from today.

In view of statement made, the contempt petition is disposed of. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law against the order of the respondent rejecting the claim.

The rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

September 03, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |