

216

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-3210-2017

Date of decision: 02.09.2019

Bal Mukand since deceased through his LRs.

.. Petitioner

Versus

Nitin Kumar and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Aditya Singh Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Verma, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 29.04.2015 passed by this Court in CWP No. 8009 of 1993. The operative part of the order is reproduced below:

“Considering the fact that the identical issue is involved in the present petition, it will be in the interest of justice, if the matter is relegated to the competent authority with a direction to examine and pass a detailed order in the light of judgment passed by this Court in R.K. Aggarwal's case (supra). Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The competent authority is directed to examine the case of the petitioner in terms of R.K. Aggarwal's case (supra) and decide the same within within a period of four months from the receipt of certified copy of the order.”

Reply by way of affidavit dated 26.04.2018 along with order dated 08.10.2015 is already on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the order annexed with the reply, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition, however, he seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law against the said order.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

02.09.2019

hemlata

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No