

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.3169 of 2015
Date of Decision: 09.07.2019**

Sandeep Kaur

Petitioner

Versus

Jasbir Singh Hundal, Principal, Giani Zail Singh College of Engineering and Technology, Bathinda-cum-Campus Director, Giani Zail Singh Punjab Technical University Campus, Bathinda.

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Bhinder, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 17.09.2014 passed in LPA No.1222 of 2013. Operational part of the order is reproduced below:-

“In view of the said consensus, the order dated 02.04.2013 passed by the learned Single Judge in the writ petition (CWP Nos. 1590 of 2011) filed by the appellants is set aside and both these appeals are disposed of with liberty to the appellants to submit a representation to the Management (respondent No.3 College) for their claims regarding payment of arrears of pay, within a period of one month, and the

Management is directed to consider and decide the same in accordance with law after giving opportunity of hearing to the appellants and passing a speaking order, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months.”

As per order, appeal was disposed of with liberty to the appellant to submit representation, within one month, to the Management (respondent No.3-College in the LPA) for claiming arrears of pay. Thereafter, Management was directed to consider and decide the same in accordance with law after providing opportunity of hearing, by passing speaking order, preferably within three months.

After notice, respondent has filed reply stating that in compliance with the directions of this Court, order has been passed on 31.12.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner is aggrieved by rejection of claim of arrears of pay. He further places reliance upon para-9 of the reply of Vice Chancellor, Maharaja Ranjit Singh Punjab Technical University, Bathinda, which is reproduced as under:-

“9. That the answering respondent can only take the matter to the Finance Committee again for consideration and recommendations of Finance Committee can be submitted to Board of Governors.”

He states that liberty be granted to the petitioner to avail the remedies available in accordance with law against the order passed by the respondent.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous, with liberty to the petitioner, as prayed for. Rule issued against the respondent is discharged.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

July 09, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-----|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | No |