

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 3079 of 2017

Date of Decision: 20.08.2019

Sukhwinder Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

Krishan Kumar and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. R.K. Girdhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 26.4.2017 passed in CWP No. 26702 of 2014.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

Therefore, in view of the above, when the petitioner was appointed on the post of Lab Attendant and has continued to work on that post, merely on account of a mistake that has crept in, the designation of the petitioner will not be changed. It has to be in consonance with the notification that came into effect in 1984. Therefore, it is held that the petitioner, who was appointed as Lab. Attendant, would continue to hold the designation of a Lab. Attendant and not that of a Lab. Bearer especially when no such designation exists as on date.

Consequently, the above noted writ petition is allowed and the petitioner is held entitled to all the consequential benefits that would flow from the said designation."

Learned counsel appearing for Guru Nanak College for Girls, Sri

Muktsar Sahib, has produced on record details of transfer of amount,

statement of account, receipt of amount and copies of four cheques. Copies of the same have been supplied to counsel for petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that arrears have not been paid upto date.

Learned counsel appearing for State on instructions from Avtar Singh, Sr. Assistant o/o DPI (Colleges) submits that case has been sent for approval and as soon as the case is approved, the same would be paid but not later than four weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition.

The contempt petition is disposed of.

However, it is made clear that in case the statement made by College and State are not adhered to, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition which shall be at costs and responsibility of the respondents and in that case the costs are imposed which shall be recovered from the defaulting officer/official.

20.08.2019

reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No