

COCN No.3076 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 2.5.2019

Melo Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

Dheera Khandelwal and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAURPresent: Mr. Sukhjit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Saurabh Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)**CM-20819-CII-2018**

Allowed as prayed for.

COCN-3076-2018

The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of the order dated 16.4.2018, vide which, direction was issued to decide the representation of the petitioner with regard to the interest on the delayed payment, as also for appointment on compassionate ground.

In pursuance to the said order, the respondents have already filed their reply dated 1.2.2019 stating therein that ₹ 6,52,902 has been credited in the account of the petitioner and an amount of ₹ 25,000/- towards ex-gratia has also been credited in the account of the petitioner. Benefit of family pension and death cum retirement gratuity has also been given to the petitioner.

On the last date of hearing, time was granted to learned counsel for the State to find out as to whether payment on account of gratuity, leave encashment etc. has been made or not.

In pursuance to the last order, learned counsel for the State has placed on record a letter dated 26.4.2019 stating therein that gratuity has been granted to the petitioner. However, she is not entitled for the leave encashment, as his husband had died on 16.6.2010 while working as part time Kahar. Details of the payment has also been placed on record.

In view of the above, no contempt is made out. The contempt petition is dismissed accordingly.

Rule issued against the respondents stand discharged.

However, if the petitioner is aggrieved in any manner, she is at liberty to avail remedy as available to her in accordance with law.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

2.5.2019
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No