

101
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/1/2019 13:42
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-806-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 11.1.2019

Rijwan

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Nafees Ahmad Khan, Advocate,
for petitioner.

-:-

-:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

On the night, intervening 8/9.11.2017, a theft was committed in a factory called Two Life Industries. 80 cartons of cashew nuts have been alleged to have been recovered. The theft was recorded in CCTV footage. The thieves were wearing masks. During investigation, name of petitioner was disclosed. The learned Additional Sessions Judge, Mewat, has observed that custodial interrogation of petitioner is necessary.

I am of view that in present case, previous activities of petitioner are also to be examined. As such, custodial interrogation of petitioner is necessary. There is no ground to grant anticipatory bail to petitioner. Therefore, petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.1.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No