

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCN No.298 of 2018
Date of Decision: 22.10.2019**

M/s Light of India Industries, Faridabad

Petitioner

Versus

Samir Pal Sharma, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. A.P. Bhandari, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amrita Nagpal, Advocate for
Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that a Letters Patent Appeal [LPA] has been preferred by the respondent and as per interim direction, the contempt petition cannot be proceeded with.

The contempt petition is disposed of at this stage with liberty to the parties to revive the same, if cause of action survives after decision of the LPA or on modification of interim order.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

October 22, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No