

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.2965 of 2017
Date of Decision: 11.09.2019**

Pawan Kumar @ Munna Lal

Petitioner

Versus

D.S. Dhesi, Chief Secretary, Haryana Government and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Rahul Pathania, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This is a contempt petition filed for non-compliance of directions of this Court dated 16.09.2013 passed by this Court in CWP No.3452 of 2008.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced below:-

“In view of the order passed in CWP No. 13117 of 2006 titled Satpal Dhiman vs. State of Haryana and others, this writ petition has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly. The concerned authorities are directed to conclude the acquisition proceedings expeditiously and disburse compensation to the right holders.”

Pursuant to notice of motion, reply was filed. Alongwith the reply, Annexure R-3 dated 10.12.2018 was annexed. As per reply, Memo No.1028, dated 10.12.2018, the Sub Divisional Officer (C), Jagadhri has pleaded that petitioner had given a statement before him that land in dispute was in his possession. It has been held that acquisition proceedings are deemed to be lapsed.

In view of the said pleadings, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

The rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

September 11, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No