

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-933-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 16.1.2019

Paramvir Singh @ Pamma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Surinder Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Simranjeet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case registered vide FIR No.184, dated 21.12.2016, registered at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar, under Section 302 of Indian Penal Code, 1860.
2. The FIR was lodged at the instance of Sarabjit Singh wherein he has alleged that on 20.12.2016 he had handed over some money to his brother Harjit Singh who resides in the fields, for the purpose of opening a bank account. On 21.12.2016 when the complainant went to the fields to inquire from his brother about opening of the bank account, he found his brother dead in a room who was having multiple injuries on his body. Although in the FIR it was alleged that

some unknown persons had killed complainant's brother but subsequently in a supplementary statement recorded after about 2 days of lodging the FIR, the complainant stated that he suspects that Paramvir Singh @ Pamma had killed his brother as 2/3 days prior to the death of his brother Harjit Singh, his brother Harjit Singh had hurled abuses to Harbhajan Kaur, grand mother of Paramvir Singh, in presence of Paramvir Singh.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that it is a case of blind murder and the petitioner has been falsely implicated that too on the basis of supplementary statement recorded 2 days after lodging of the FIR.
4. Learned State counsel while opposing the petition has submitted that a '*kahi*' and a blood stained blanket was recovered at the instance of the accused which points towards the complicity of the petitioner and as such no case for grant of bail is made out. It has further been informed that although challan has been presented but not even a single witness out of the cited 20 PWs has been examined so far.
5. Having considered rival submissions addressed before this Court and bearing in mind the fact that it is a case of blind murder based solely on circumstantial evidence and also that the petitioner till date has been behind bars since the last about 2 years and not even a single prosecution witness has been examined so far, in my opinion, no fruitful purpose would be served by further detaining the petitioner behind bars especially since the trial is not likely to be concluded in immediate future. The petition, as such, is accepted and petitioner-Paramvir Singh @ Pamma is ordered to be released on regular bail on

his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

6. This petition stands accepted accordingly.

January 16, 2019
mohan

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No