

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 01.07.2019

1. COCF No.2906 of 2018 (O&M)

Jarnail Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh, Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Central Zone, Ludhiana

Respondent

2. COCF No.2897 of 2018 (O&M)

Amrik Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh, Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Central Zone, Ludhiana

Respondent

3. COCF No.2892 of 2018 (O&M)

Hans Raj

Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh, Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Central Zone, Ludhiana

Respondent

4. COCF No.2785 of 2018 (O&M)

Balbir Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh, Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Central Zone, Ludhiana

Respondent

5. COCP No.2872 of 2018 (O&M)

Jagdish Singh Rana

Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh, Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Central Zone, Ludhiana

Respondent

6. COCP No.2874 of 2018 (O&M)

Rakesh Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh, Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Central Zone, Ludhiana

Respondent

7. COCP No.2797 of 2018 (O&M)

Suresh Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh, Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Central Zone, Ludhiana

Respondent

8. COCP No.2907 of 2018 (O&M)

Karnail Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh, Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Central Zone, Ludhiana

Respondent

9. COCP No.2793 of 2018 (O&M)

Ram Murti

Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh, Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Central Zone, Ludhiana

Respondent

10. COCF No.2889 of 2018 (O&M)

Raj Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh, Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Central Zone, Ludhiana

Respondent

11. COCF No.2905 of 2018 (O&M)

Surinder Pal Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh, Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Central Zone, Ludhiana

Respondent

12. COCF No.2883 of 2018 (O&M)

Gurnam Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh, Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Central Zone, Ludhiana

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Saravpreet Singh Gurna, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, Advocate
for the respondent with
Mr. D.P.S. Grewal, Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Central
Zone, Ludhiana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

These 12 contempt petitions have been preferred
pleading that orders passed in following writ petitions have not been
complied with:

Contempt Petition Number	Petitioner's Name	CWP Number
2906 of 2018	Jarnail Singh	11081 of 2018
2897 of 2018	Amrik Singh	11188 of 2018
2892 of 2018	Hans Raj	11088 of 2018
2785 of 2018	Balbir Singh	11084 of 2018
2872 of 2018	Jagdish Singh Rana	11082 of 2018
2874 of 2018	Rakesh Kumar	11095 of 2018
2797 of 2018	Suresh Kumar	11121 of 2018
2907 of 2018	Karnail Singh	11083 of 2018
2793 of 2018	Ram Murti	11105 of 2018
2889 of 2018	Raj Singh	11194 of 2018
2905 of 2018	Surinderpal Singh	11129 of 2018
2883 of 2018	Gurnam Singh	11125 of 2018

The respondent was directed to take conscious decision on the legal notices within a period of three months from receipt of certified copy of the order and particularly in the light of the Circular Nos.17/90 dated 23.04.1990 (P1), 62/90 dated 16.12.1992 (P2), 52/99 dated 09.11.1999 (P3) and 20/2000 dated 28.07.2000 (P4).

Learned counsel for the respondent has filed the replies, same are taken on record. Copies of replies are handed over to the counsel opposite. He states that order has been complied with and order has been passed on legal notices and claims of the petitioners have been accepted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) states that though the claim has been accepted still certain grievances survive, as no payments have been received.

No further interference is called for in the contempt

petitions.

In case where the claim of the petitioners has been accepted, the respondent shall disburse the dues within reasonable time.

If petitioners have still some grievance, they would be at liberty to avail their remedies in accordance with law.

The contempt petitions are disposed of.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

July 01, 2019
pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-----|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | No |